

1 0.

2 ..

3

4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7 DANIELLE TAYLOR,
8 ROBERT MARKLEY,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 NICOLE KAZMAR, et. al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00109-MMD-WGC

ORDER

13 On February 26, 2016, Plaintiff's filed the first page of an application to proceed in this
14 district without prepaying fees or costs, along with their pro se complaint. (Electronic Case
15 Filing (ECF) Nos. 1, 1-1.) On March 10, 2016, the court directed Plaintiffs to each file their own
16 completed application to proceed in forma pauperis within thirty days. (ECF No. 3.) On April 8,
17 2016, plaintiff Robert Markley filed an application to proceed in this district without prepaying
18 fees or costs. (ECF No. 4.) As of the date of this Order, plaintiff Danielle Taylor has not filed her
19 completed application.

20 **I. APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

21 A person may be granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis if the person "submits
22 an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses [and] that the person is
23 unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the
24 action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress." 28 U.S.C.
25 § 1915; *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (stating that this provision
26 applies to all actions filed in forma pauperis, not just prisoner actions).

27 In addition, the Local Rules of Practice for the District of Nevada provide: "Any person,
28 who is unable to prepay the fees in a civil case, may apply to the Court for authority to proceed

1 *in forma pauperis*. The application shall be made on the form provided by the Court and shall
2 include a financial affidavit disclosing the applicant's income, assets, expenses, and liabilities."
3 LSR 1-1.

4 "[T]he supporting affidavits [must] state the facts as to [the] affiant's poverty with some
5 particularity, definiteness and certainty." *U.S. v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981)
6 (quoting *Jefferson v. United States*, 277 F.2d 823, 725 (9th Cir. 1960)). A litigant need not "be
7 absolutely destitute to enjoy the benefits of the statute." *Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont De Nemours &*

8 Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

9 A review of Mr. Markley's application reveals he is unable to pay the filing fee; therefore
10 his application (ECF No. 4) is granted. Ms. Taylor has an additional thirty days from the date of
11 this Order to submit her completed application to proceed without prepaying the filing fee or pay
12 the filing fee, or it will be recommended that the action be dismissed as to her.

II. SCREENING

14 || A. Standard

15 28 U.S.C. § 1915 provides: "the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court
16 determines that...the action or appeal (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim upon
17 which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune
18 from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii). This provision applies to all actions filed in
19 forma pauperis, whether or not the plaintiff is incarcerated. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122,
20 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc); *see also Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per
21 curiam).

22 Dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted is
23 provided for in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and this court applies the same
24 standard under Section 1915(e)(2)(B) when reviewing the adequacy of the complaint or amended
25 complaint. *See Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted). Review
26 under 12(b)(6) is essentially a ruling on a question of law. *See Chappel v. Lab. Corp. of America*,
27 232 F.3d 719, 723 (9th Cir. 2000).

1 In reviewing the complaint under this standard, the court must accept as true the
 2 allegations of the complaint, *Hosp. Bldg. Co. v. Trustees of Rex Hosp.*, 425 U.S. 738, 740 (1976),
 3 construe the pleadings in the light most favorable to plaintiff, and resolve all doubts in the
 4 plaintiff's favor, *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969). Allegations in pro se
 5 complaints are held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, and
 6 must be liberally construed. *See Hughes v. Rowe*, 449 U.S. 5, 9 (1980); *Haines v. Kerner*, 404
 7 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972) (*per curiam*); *Hamilton v. Brown*, 630 F.3d 889, 893 (9th Cir. 2011).

8 A complaint must contain more than a "formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of
 9 action," it must contain factual allegations sufficient to "raise a right to relief above the
 10 speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). "The pleading
 11 must contain something more...than...a statement of facts that merely creates a suspicion [of] a
 12 legally cognizable right of action." *Id.* (quoting 5 C. Wright & A. Miller, *Federal Practice and*
 13 *Procedure* § 1216, at 235-36 (3d ed. 2004)). At a minimum, a plaintiff should state "enough
 14 facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 570; *see also Ashcroft v. Iqbal*,
 15 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009).

16 A dismissal should not be without leave to amend unless it is clear from the face of the
 17 complaint that the action is frivolous and could not be amended to state a federal claim, or the
 18 district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the action. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d
 19 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissed as frivolous); *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th
 20 Cir. 1990).

21 **B. Plaintiffs' Complaint**

22 The pro se complaint names the following defendants: Nicole Kazmar, Jeanne Marsh,
 23 Amy Sandwick, Sherri Cline, and Amber Howell. (ECF No. 1-1 at 1.) They bring this action
 24 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (*Id.*)

25 The complaint alleges that CPS was called by a woman who goes by the nickname
 26 Patches, who was Plaintiff's babysitter and was babysitting Plaintiff's newborn child at the
 27 Wonderlodge Motel. (*Id.* at 3.) When Plaintiffs returned to the motel to pick up their son to go
 28 home, they heard their child screaming and crying. (*Id.*) When they arrived to Patches' room,

1 there was a woman there whom Plaintiffs did not know, and Mr. Markley told her to leave. (*Id.*)
 2 Patches had their newborn son in her arms, and threw him on the bed from the chair she was
 3 sitting in. (*Id.*) Mr. Markley picked the child up, and Plaintiffs were concerned because the child
 4 could not move his head. (*Id.* at 4.) Patches' roommate, Lenny, told Plaintiffs that Patches had
 5 thrown their child on the floor. (*Id.*) Plaintiffs go on to allege that the police showed up because
 6 of the woman whom Plaintiffs did not recognize. (*Id.*) That woman also told the motel's office
 7 manager that Patches had been drinking, that Plaintiffs were arguing with Patches, and that there
 8 was a baby involved. (*Id.*) Plaintiffs aver that the officers believed Plaintiffs lived in the
 9 Wonderlodge, but they did not. (*Id.*) There are no other allegations, and no requested relief. (*Id.*
 10 at 5-9.)

11 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements:
 12 (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated; and (2) that
 13 the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v.*
 14 *Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

15 While the allegations as to the conduct of their babysitter are disturbing, Plaintiffs fail to
 16 allege the violation of the Constitution or other law of the United States with respect to any of
 17 the named defendants. As a result, the complaint will be dismissed. Plaintiffs will be given leave
 18 to amend.

19 **III. CONCLUSION**

20 (1) Plaintiff Robert Markley's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 4) is
 21 **GRANTED**. Plaintiff shall be permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the
 22 necessity of prepayment of fees or costs or the giving of security therefor. This order granting in
 23 forma pauperis does not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government expense.

24 (2) Plaintiff Danielle Taylor has **THIRTY DAYS** from the date of this Order to submit
 25 her own completed application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee. If she fails to
 26 do so the court will recommend dismissal of this action as to her.

27 (3) The Clerk shall **FILE** the Complaint (ECF No. 1-1), and it is **DISMISSED WITH**
 28 **LEAVE TO AMEND;**

1 (4) Plaintiffs have **THIRTY** days from the date of this Order to file an amended
2 complaint to cure the deficiencies noted herein. The amended complaint shall be complete in and
3 of itself without reference to any previous complaint. Any allegations, parties or requests for
4 relief from prior pleadings that are not carried forward in the amended complaint will no longer
5 be before the court. Plaintiffs shall clearly title the amended pleading by placing the words
6 "AMENDED COMPLAINT" on page 1 of the caption, and shall place the case number above
7 that title. If Plaintiffs fail to file an amended complaint within thirty days, the court will
8 recommend dismissal of this action.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 DATED: April 18, 2016.

William G. Cobb

11 WILLIAM G. COBB
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28